UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,509	10/05/2005	Noritada Katayama	2005_1546A	2516
513 7590 12/13/2010 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSON III, HENRY M	
Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3769	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/552,509	KATAYAMA, NORITADA
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Henry M. Johnson, III	3769
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Henry M. Johnson, III</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Andrew Dunlap</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 December 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 22		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The examiner suggested changes to claim 22 for clarification incorreceive and transmit. The examiner also sugested removal of the as confusing as only the first information sensor has a measurem	luding changing " to wirelessly cor e (i), (ii) and (iii) in the claims for c	mmuniticate" to wirelessly
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Henry M. Johnson, III/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3769		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)